Filed 02/25/2008

Page 1 of 2

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Amended Motion to Ouash Service and Dismiss for Insufficient Service of Process and Lack of Personal Jurisdiction and for Sanctions.

- Attached hereto as Exhibit "A" is a true and correct copy of Rule 4 of 2. the South African Rules of Court. I am informed and believe that Rule 4 provides that any documentation initiating proceedings shall be effected by the Sheriff.
- In addition to plaintiffs' counsel in the case at hand, I have contacted attorneys for plaintiffs in other cases presently pending against Bumbo (Pty) Ltd., and have asked them to contact me if they believed they served Bumbo (Pty) Ltd. Plaintiffs' counsel here were the only attorneys who refused to cooperate and provide information.
- In one of these other pending actions, also styled as a class action and 4. entitled Mathison v. Bumbo, et al., Case No. 07CC01399, venued in California state court, specifically, Orange County, plaintiffs' counsel has acknowledged that there are service requirements for service on foreign corporations and has indicated that he is currently in the process of serving Bumbo (Pty) Ltd. via a letter rogatory. Plaintiffs' counsel also told me that he had spoken with counsel for plaintiffs in the case at hand and expressed his view that a letter rogatory was the only appropriate method by which to serve Bumbo (Pty) Ltd.

Executed this \(\frac{1}{2} \) day of February 2008 at Los Angeles, California.

ASE NO.: C 07-04807 MHP